

Final Exam: Solution

SOLUTION. Problem 1.

- (a) Let $\mathcal{G}_n = \sigma(Y_k, k \leq n)$. Clearly, Z_{n+1} is independent of \mathcal{G}_n . Hence, for any $n \geq 0$ and Borel set B the R.C.P.D. $\widehat{\mathbf{P}}_{Y_{n+1}|\mathcal{G}_n}(B, \omega)$ of Y_{n+1} given \mathcal{G}_n is of the form $p_n(Y_n(\omega), B)$ for the Borel function

$$p_n(y, B) = \mathbf{P}[(n+1)^s y |Z_{n+1}| \in B] \mathbf{1}_{y \neq 0} + \mathbf{P}(Z_{n+1} \in B) \mathbf{1}_{y=0}.$$

Consequently, $\{Y_n\}$ is a Markov chain on $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B})$, see Definition 6.1.2. It is not a homogeneous chain since for example $p_n(0, \{0\}) = \mathbf{P}(Z_{n+1} = 0) = 1 - (n+1)^{-s}$ depends on n .

- (b) Clearly, Y_n is adapted with respect to the canonical filtration \mathcal{G}_n . Further, since $|Z_n| \leq 1$ we see that $|Y_n| \leq n^s |Y_{n-1}| + 1$. Thus, $\mathbf{E}|Y_n| \leq n^s \mathbf{E}|Y_{n-1}| + 1$ for all $n \geq 1$ and with $\mathbf{E}|Y_0| = 0$ it follows by induction that $\{Y_n\}$ is integrable. Proceeding to verify the martingale condition, by the independence of Y_{n-1} and Z_n we find upon taking out what is known, that

$$\mathbf{E}(Y_n | Y_{n-1}) = n^s Y_{n-1} \mathbf{E}|Z_n| + I_{\{Y_{n-1} \neq 0\}} \mathbf{E}Z_n = Y_{n-1}$$

since $\mathbf{E}Z_n = 0$ and $\mathbf{E}|Z_n| = n^{-s}$.

Next, applying Doob's inequality for this martingale yields the bound $x^{-1} \mathbf{E}[(Y_n)_+]$. To compute the latter expectation, note that for any $n \geq 1$

$$(Y_n)_+ = n^s (Y_{n-1})_+ |Z_n| + (Z_n)_+ I_{\{Y_{n-1} = 0\}}.$$

Consequently, with $\mathbf{E}(Z_n)_+ = n^{-s}/2$ we have by the tower property and taking out what is known, that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}[(Y_n)_+] &= \mathbf{E}[\mathbf{E}\{(Y_n)_+ | Y_{n-1}\}] = n^s \mathbf{E}[(Y_{n-1})_+] \mathbf{E}|Z_n| + \mathbf{E}(Z_n)_+ \mathbf{P}(Y_{n-1} = 0) \\ &= \mathbf{E}[(Y_{n-1})_+] + \frac{1}{2} n^{-s} \mathbf{P}(Y_{n-1} = 0). \end{aligned}$$

Next observe that $A_n = \{\omega : Y_n(\omega) = 0\} = \{\omega : Z_n(\omega) = 0\}$ for any $n \geq 1$ and $A_0 = \Omega$ by our choice of $Y_0 = 0$. Consequently, $\mathbf{E}(Y_1)_+ = 1/2$ and with $\mathbf{P}(Y_k = 0) = (1 - k^{-s})$ for all $k \geq 1$, we get the stated bound by a simple recursive computation (or via induction).

- (c) First note that $\mathbf{P}(Y_n \neq 0) = \mathbf{P}(A_n^c) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for any $s > 0$. Therefore $Y_n \rightarrow 0$ in probability for any $s > 0$. Turning to convergence a.s., note that if $Y_n \neq 0$ then $|Y_n|$ is a finite product of terms, each one of which is at least one. Thus, $Y_n \rightarrow 0$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$ if and only if $\{Y_n \neq 0 \text{ finitely often}\}$. Recall our observation that the events A_n are independent, hence by the pair of Borel-Cantelli lemmas, $\mathbf{P}(Y_n \neq 0 \text{ finitely often}) = 1$ if and only if $\sum_n \mathbf{P}(A_n^c) < \infty$. That is, convergence a.s. of $\{Y_n\}$ happens if and only if $s > 1$.

Finally, recall that we have seen in part (b) that $\mathbf{E}(Y_n)_+$ is strictly increasing, hence does not converge to zero, regardless of the value of $s > 0$. It follows then that Y_n does not converge in L^1 , regardless of $s > 0$.

- (d) Recall Exercise 5.3.3 that L^1 boundedness of the martingale $\{Y_n\}$ is equivalent to the boundedness in n of $\mathbf{E}(Y_n)_+$. In part (b) we have seen that this is merely the convergence of the series $\sum_{k \geq 1} (k+1)^{-s}(1-k^{-s})$, so L^1 -boundedness of $\{Y_n\}$ applies if and only if $s > 1$. Clearly, $\{Y_n\}$ is never U.I. since it never converges in L^1 (see Theorem 5.3.11, or alternatively, use Theorem 1.3.49).

SOLUTION. Problem 2 = Exercise 5.4.10 of notes.

- (a) Clearly, $S_0 = v$ and your profit at the end of n turns is merely $S_0 - S_n$, where a negative profit stands for your loss at that time. The game is fair and the sequence $\{V_n\}$ of wagers is \mathcal{F}_n -predictable and of bounded terms (since $V_k \leq 2^k \max(x_i, i \leq k)$ for all k). So, (S_n, \mathcal{F}_n) is a martingale transform of $\{V_n\}$ with respect to the symmetric SRW associated with the game (assigning +1 to bets you lost and -1 to those you won). Thus, by Doob's convergence theorem, the non-negative martingale S_n converges a.s. when $n \rightarrow \infty$ to some non-negative $S_\infty \geq 0$ of finite mean. Of course, this implies that $|S_{n+1} - S_n| \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0$. However, each wager you make has to be at least for $\delta = \min(x_i, i \leq k) > 0$. Consequently, if $S_n > 0$ then $|S_{n+1} - S_n| \geq \delta$ and therefore if $S_n > 0$ for infinitely many values of n , then

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |S_{n+1} - S_n| \geq \delta.$$

In view of the preceding, we conclude that $\mathbf{P}(S_n > 0 \text{ finitely often}) = 1$, i.e. after an a.s. finite random time $\tau = \tau(\omega)$ we have that $S_\tau = S_\infty = 0$, resulting with profit v .

- (b) With $\{N_n\}$ adapted to the canonical filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}$ of the game, the corresponding first hitting time $\tau = \inf\{n \geq 0 : N_n < 1\}$, which is precisely when you terminate, is an \mathcal{F}_n -stopping time. Further, till time τ we can represent N_n as a random walk on the integers, starting at $N_0 = k$ and having transition probabilities $\mathbf{P}(N_{\ell+1} = x+1 | N_\ell = x) = \mathbf{P}(N_{\ell+1} = x-2 | N_\ell = x) = 1/2$ (corresponding to the probability that you lost or won your current bet, respectively). Clearly then $\mathbf{P}(\tau > n) \leq \mathbf{P}(N_n \geq 1)$ is summable, for $\mathbf{P}(N_n \geq 1) \leq c(k)b^n$ for some $c(k) < \infty$ some $b < 1$ and all n . Indeed, by Markov's inequality, for any $\eta > 0$,

$$\mathbf{P}(N_n \geq 1) \leq e^{-\eta} \mathbf{E}[e^{\eta N_n}] = e^{\eta(k-1)} b^n,$$

where $b = (e^\eta + e^{-2\eta})/2 < 1$ for all $\eta > 0$ small enough. Thus, as claimed $\mathbf{E}\tau$ is finite.

- (c) By definition $L = \max\{S_n - S_0 : n = 0, 1, \dots, \tau\}$, so $L + v \geq S_{n \wedge \tau} \geq 0$ for all $n \geq 0$. We see that if $\mathbf{E}L$ is finite then $\{S_{n \wedge \tau}\}$ is U.I. and by Doob's optional stopping theorem, $v = \mathbf{E}S_0 = \mathbf{E}S_\tau = 0$. Having arrived at a contradiction, we conclude that necessarily $\mathbf{E}L = \infty$.

SOLUTION. Problem 3.

- (a) The transition probability of the chain $\{Z_n\}$ of countable state space is $p(x, x+1) = 1 - p(x, x-1) = b$ for $x \geq 0$ and $p(x, x+1) = 1 - p(x, x-1) =$

$1 - b$ for $x < 0$. In case $0 < b < 1$ we have that $p(x, x \pm 1) > 0$ for all x hence $\rho_{xy} > 0$ for any integers x and y . By definition, the homogeneous chain $\{Z_n\}$ is then irreducible. In contrast, if $b = 0$ we have $\rho_{01} = 0$ and if $b = 1$ we have $\rho_{10} = 0$, so no irreducibility in either case. As for the period of the chain, note that $\mathbf{P}_0(X_n = 0) = 0$ whenever n is odd. Hence, $d_0 \geq 2$ and actually $d_0 = 2$ since $\mathbf{P}_0(X_2 = 0) > 0$. With the chain $\{Z_n\}$ irreducible, recall Lemma 6.2.50 that it has the same period at each state.

- (b) In view of part (a) and Proposition 6.2.28 uniqueness (up to a constant multiple) of the invariant measure follows upon showing that zero is a recurrent state of $\{Z_n\}$ when $0 < b \leq 1/2$. Starting at $X_0 = 0$ we have $X_1 \in \{-1, 1\}$ so by the symmetry of $p(x, y)$ for $x \neq 0$ under $(x, y) \mapsto (-x, -y)$ it suffices to show that $\mathbf{P}_{-1}(\tau_0 < \infty) = 1$. Setting $S_n = X_n + 1$, this is merely the conclusion of part (d) of Exercise 5.4.7 (whose solution given as Exam practice). You can next easily check that $\mu(x) = \mu(-x - 1) = v^x$, $x \geq 0$, satisfies the detailed balance relation $\mu(x)p(x, y) = \mu(y)p(y, x)$ for $v = b/(1 - b)$ and all integers x, y , hence is a reversible measure for $\{Z_n\}$ (see Definition 6.2.31). Recall that a reversible measure is invariant. It is further easy to verify that $\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} \mu(x)$ is finite when $0 < b < 1/2$ (i.e. $v < 1$) and infinite when $b = 1/2$. Consequently, $\{Z_n\}$ has a unique invariant probability measure for $0 < b < 1/2$ but not for $b = 1/2$.

Alternatively, in case $b = 1/2$ we merely have here the symmetric SRW on \mathbb{Z} which is a null recurrent chain (per Example 6.2.41 of the notes), and has a unique invariant measure $\mu(x) = 1$ which is not a finite measure. In case $b < 1/2$ we apply the method of Exercise 6.2.44 for the non-negative Lyapunov function $h(x) = |x|$ which is zero at state $z = 0$. Indeed, it is not hard to check that $(ph)(x) = p(x, x + 1)|x + 1| + p(x, x - 1)|x - 1| = |x| - \delta$ for $\delta = 1 - 2b > 0$ and all $x \neq 0$. Consequently, $z = 0$ is a (strongly) positive recurrent state of $\{Z_n\}$, per part (c) of Exercise 6.2.44. By part (a) then this chain is positive recurrent, so has a unique invariant probability measure.

- (c) We set $C = [-4, 4]$ and let $q(\cdot)$ denote the uniform measure on $[-1, 1]$ (many other choices of C and $q(\cdot)$ are of course possible). Then, it is easy to see that $p(x, B) = \lambda(B \cap [x - 5, x + 5])/10 \geq \delta q(B)$ for $\delta = 1/5$ and all $x \in C$ (where $\lambda(\cdot)$ denotes Lebesgue's measure on \mathbb{R}). Thus for strong H-irreducibility it suffices to verify that C is an accessible set of the chain $\{X_n\}$. To this end, observe that for $x \notin A = [-5, 5]$ the chain $\{X_n\}$ has the same transition probabilities as $\{Z_n\}$. Consequently, from part (a) we deduce that $\mathbf{P}_x(\tau_A < \infty) > 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ (note that this applies also for $b = 0$ in which case $\tau_A = \lceil (|x| - 5)_+ \rceil$ is still finite). With A accessible and $\mathbf{P}_x(X_1 \in C) \geq 4/10 > 0$ for all $x \in A$, we conclude by the strong Markov property of the chain (at stopping time τ_A), that C is also an accessible set for it.
- (d) The construction of part (c) has $q(C) = 1$ which implies in particular aperiodicity of the chain $\{X_n\}$ (see Definition 6.3.26). In view of Theorems 6.3.24 and 6.3.27 it thus suffices to show that $\mathbf{P}_x(T_\alpha < \infty) = 1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and that $\mathbf{E}_q T_\alpha < \infty$.

Turning to the first task, recall part (c) that $\inf_{x \in A} \mathbf{P}_x(\overline{X}_2 = \alpha) = 1 - \gamma > 0$, hence by part (c) of Exercise 6.1.17 it suffices to show that $\mathbf{P}_x(T_A < \infty) = 1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. As a matter of fact, considering separately the case of $X_1 \in A$ and $X_1 \notin A$, by the Markov property it suffices to show this for all $x \notin A$. But, outside A the chain $\{X_n\}$ evolves exactly as $\{Z_n\}$ of part (a) does. In particular, by irreducibility of the latter chain, $\mathbf{P}_x(T_A < \infty) = \rho_{y, \pm 5} = 1$ where $x = \pm(y - \Delta)$ with $y \in \{6, 7, \dots\}$ and $0 \leq \Delta < 1$.

Having established this, we proceed to our final (and in this case hardest) task of proving positive H-recurrence, namely the finiteness of $\mathbf{E}_q T_\alpha$. To this end, consider the stopping times $r_k = \inf\{n \geq r_{k-1} + 2 : \overline{X}_n \in A\}$, starting at $r_0 = 0$, which are a.s. finite in view of the preceding proof that $\mathbf{P}_x(T_A < \infty) = 1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Setting $\Gamma_k = \{\overline{X}_{r_k+2} \notin \alpha\}$, clearly,

$$T_\alpha \leq 2 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (r_k - r_{k-1}) \prod_{\ell=0}^{k-1} I_{\Gamma_\ell}.$$

With $0 < \gamma < 1$, by monotonicity of the expectation, the finiteness of $\mathbf{E}_q T_\alpha$ thus follows upon showing that

$$\mathbf{E}_q[(r_k - r_{k-1}) \prod_{\ell=0}^{k-1} I_{\Gamma_\ell}] \leq c\gamma^k \quad (*)$$

for some c finite and all $k \geq 1$. Next, setting $\mathcal{G}_n = \sigma(\overline{X}_k, k \leq n)$, note that $|\overline{X}_{\hat{\theta}}| < 11$ at the \mathcal{G}_n -stopping time $\hat{\theta} = r_{k-1} + 2$ for any $k \geq 1$. Hence, by the strong Markov property of the chain

$$\mathbf{E}_q[(r_k - r_{k-1}) | \mathcal{G}_{\hat{\theta}}] = 2 + \mathbf{E}_{X_{\hat{\theta}}}[\tau_A] \leq 2 + \sup_{|x| < 11} \mathbf{E}_x[\tau_A] = c.$$

Further, for any $\ell \geq 0$, by the strong Markov property of the chain at the stopping time r_ℓ , in which the split chain is in A ,

$$\mathbf{E}_q[I_{\Gamma_\ell} | \mathcal{G}_{r_\ell}] \leq \gamma.$$

Since $\Gamma_\ell \in \mathcal{G}_{\hat{\theta}}$ for all $\ell < k$, combining the last two bounds, we arrive at (*) upon iteratively applying the tower property k times and taking out what is known at each step.

It remains only to prove that $\sup_{|x| < 11} \mathbf{E}_x[\tau_A]$ is finite. To this end it obviously suffices to consider only $|x| > 5$ and then by symmetry to further restrict to $5 < x < 11$. As already seen before, in this range of x values we can further replace $\tau_A = T_A$ by $\widehat{T}_5 = \inf\{n \geq 1 : Z_n = 5\}$ and the real valued $5 < x < 11$ by the integer valued $y \in \{6, 7, \dots, 11\}$. Next observe that for such y values, forcing the first $y - 5$ steps of $\{Z_n\}$ to be to the right yields the inequality,

$$\mathbf{E}_5[\widehat{T}_5] \geq b^{y-5} \mathbf{E}_y[\widehat{T}_5] \geq b^6 \sup_{6 \leq y \leq 11} \mathbf{E}_y[\widehat{T}_5],$$

hence the finiteness of

$$\sup_{6 \leq y \leq 11} \mathbf{E}_y[\widehat{T}_5] = \sup_{|x| < 11} \mathbf{E}_x[\tau_A]$$

is a consequence of the positive recurrence of $\{Z_n\}$, which we have established already in part (b).